UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,012	02/01/2007	Herbert Meyerle	S118.12-0006	3092
	7590 06/16/201 HAMPLIN & KELLY,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1400			BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO	
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/556,012	MEYERLE, HERBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suzanne Dino Barrett	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 D</u>	December 2009					
·—	· <del></del>					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19,33,34 and 37-66 is/are pending	4) Claim(s) <u>1-19,33,34 and 37-66</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19,33,34,37-66</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/556,012

Art Unit: 3673

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 3,13,16,45,62,65,66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is insufficient disclosure for the elected Figure 4 embodiment as to how applicant proposes to operate the actuator and/or the device "via a transponder" (cl. 66), electrically or electronically. Furthermore, the mechanical potential, guide means, slide surfaces and slide elements, mass center of the coupling locking element (claim 45) are not understood with respect to the Figure 4 embodiment, as there is insufficient disclosure on pages 19-20 for these elements.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-19,33,34,37-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,012 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

In claims 1 and 2, "a movement of the drive" and a "movement of the take-off" are recited which renders the "said movement" in line 7 lacking in clear antecedent basis. In claims 46,47,50,55,58,62,66, "the use of the word "via" is considered indefinite. In claims 42,45,56,58, "it" and "its" are considered indefinite and lacking in clear antecedent basis. In claim 63, it is unclear what steps are to be performed in carrying out the claimed "method".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-19,33,34,37-66, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rathmann et al 6,112,564. As best understood, Rathmann et al teach a device comprising a drive, a take-off (1.1), an orthogonal coupling element (1.11) having a spring bias (1.12), an actuator (2.1) comprising a motor, electromagnet and/or remote transponder, switch means, stop means, and coupling lock element (2.6) with lock spring (2.3). It is noted that the mechanical potential limitations are not understood and deemed to be taught by Rathmann et al disclosing the claimed structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,012 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/3/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As set forth above, Applicant has failed to fully respond to the previous rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The current remarks accompanying the response of 12/3/09 do not provide clear disclosure or explanation for the listed elements. Accordingly, these elements are still not understood. Furthermore, Applicant has failed to fully respond to the rejection set forth under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. As set forth above, the rejection now specifically sets forth all of the claims containing "it" or "it's" and "via". The previous rejection had set forth a sample few claims, then stated "and throughout the claims", however, the response only corrected those sample few claims. With regard to the prior art rejection, it is noted that the general nature of the rejection is based upon the fact that the entire invention is not clearly disclosed and therefore not clearly understood. The specific elements which are clearly understood, are in fact noted clearly by reference numeral in the rejection under Rathmann '564. Accordingly, the claims stand rejected.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 571-272-7053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Suzanne Dino Barrett

Application/Control Number: 10/556,012 Page 6

Art Unit: 3673

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

sdb

/Suzanne Dino Barrett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673